

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ITS
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION
FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
DEFENDANTS SHOULD NOT BE HELD
IN CONTEMPT OF THE PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION ORDER (Dkt. 426) AND
EXPEDITED DISCOVERY ORDER (Dkt.
61)**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 (“Waymo’s Administrative Motion”) certain information in its Reply in Support of its Motion for
 3 Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held In Contempt of the Preliminary
 4 Injunction Order (Dkt. 426) and Expedited Discovery Order (Dkt. 61).

5 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been
 6 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the
 7 documents listed below:

8 Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
9 Waymo’s Reply	Highlighted Portions	Defendants (blue highlighting)

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12
 13 Dated: _____, 2017

14 _____
 15 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
 16 United States District Court Judge
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28